



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/875,855	06/08/2001	Kinya Osa	862.C2255	9364
5514	7590	07/03/2006	EXAMINER	
FITZPATRICK CELLA HARPER & SCINTO 30 ROCKEFELLER PLAZA NEW YORK, NY 10112			LAROSE, COLIN M	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2624	

DATE MAILED: 07/03/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary	Application No. 09/875,855	Applicant(s) OSA, KINYA	
	Examiner Colin M. LaRose	Art Unit 2624	

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --
Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 03 April 2006.
2a) ☒ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☐ This action is non-final.
3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-4 and 6-12 is/are pending in the application.
4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
5) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
6) ☒ Claim(s) 1-4 and 6-12 is/are rejected.
7) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
8) ☐ Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- | | |
|--|---|
| 1) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) | 4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____ |
| 2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) | 5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) |
| 3) <input type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____ | 6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____ |

DETAILED ACTION

Arguments and Amendments

1. Applicant's amendments and arguments filed 3 April 2006, have been entered and made of record.

Response to Amendments and Arguments

2. Applicant has amended independent claims 1-4 and 8-11 to denote that a data group represented by plural bits is transferred "without changing an arrangement of a sequence of the plural bits." The addition of this limitation is not considered sufficient to overcome the previous combination of Yip EU and Yip AU.

Yip AU was relied upon for the teaching of "transferring a data group ... from a first memory to a second memory for coding by a bit-plane processor." Figure 6 of Yip AU shows that a data group (e.g. a 32x32 block of image data) is stored in a first memory (612) and then transferred to a second memory (614) for bit-plane coding (610). While being transferred, the data group is parsed into bit planes (606). However, it should be noted that this conversion into bit planes does not "change an arrangement of a sequence of the bits" of the data group. Rather, the conversion preserves the original ordering of the bits and merely identifies the respective bit planes of the group. See page 18, lines 20-22: "The bit plane converter 606 reads the input coefficient data and converts the data into 16 bit planes from bitplane 0 through bitplane 15, which are subsequently stored in memory 614." Yip AU does not disclose or suggest that the arrangement of a sequence of the bits is changed.

Art Unit: 2624

Yip AU also builds bit-plane quad-trees (608-n) based on the data group and stores the trees (618-n). This generation and storage of bit-plane quad-trees does not cause the data group to be transferred from a first memory to a second memory without changing an arrangement of a sequence of the plural bits because the data group is not being transferred to the memory (618-n). Rather, the generated quad-trees are what are transferred and stored.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

4. Claims 1-4 and 6-12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over European Patent Application 0905978 A2 by Yip et al. ("Yip EU") in view of Australian Patent Publication 199957151 A1 by Yip et al. ("Yip AU").

Regarding claims 1 and 8, Yip EU discloses a circuit and method (figure 3) for transferring a data group (i.e. coefficients of image data) having data represented by plural bits, without changing an arrangement of a sequence of the plural bits, to a bit-plane coding processor (i.e. coding routine 310-314), comprising:

detection means for detecting a maximum value in the data group as a transfer object (306 and ¶ 32: the largest coefficient in the data group is identified); and

specifying means for specifying a non-zero highest-order bit position among bits constructing the maximum value detected by said detection means (306 and ¶ 32: the MSB bit

Art Unit: 2624

position (i.e. the highest significant bitplane) of the maximum detected value is specified as “maxBitNumber”), and outputting a code representing the bit position specified by said specifying means to said bit-plane coding processor (figure 3: “maxBitNumber” is output to the coder routine 310-314),

wherein a bit in a position higher than the highest-order bit position specified by said specifying means is omitted from coding executed by said bit-plane coding processor (Figure 4 shows the “Code region” routine of figure 3. As can be seen in figure 4, coding begins with the “maxBitNumber” bitplane and continues until the “minBitNumber” bitplane is reached. As a result, those bits in the bitplanes higher than the “maxBitNumber” bitplane are omitted from processing.)

Yip EU does not appear to disclose transferring the data group “from a first memory to a second memory for coding... after transferring the data group to the second memory.” Cf. figure 1 of Yip EU, which shows coding (120) an image that has been transformed (110) but does not show transfer of the coefficients to or from memory.

Yip EU also does not disclose detecting “while transferring the data group and completed before completion of the transfer.” Cf. figure 1 of Yip EU, which shows that coefficients are transferred to the coding block (120), where the detecting (306) and coding (310-314) occur, but there is no transfer between memories shown.

Yip AU discloses a bit-plane encoding system (figure 6) that is very similar to that of Yip EU. In particular, Yip AU discloses receiving 32x32 coefficients into a first memory (612), and

Art Unit: 2624

then converting the coefficients into bitplanes (606) and then storing the bitplanes in a second memory (614) for output to the encoder (610). The transference of the 32x32 block of image data to the second memory (614) is accomplished "without changing an arrangement of a sequence of the plural bits," since the conversion preserves the original ordering of the bits and merely identifies the respective bit planes of the group.

Thus, Yip AU teaches receiving 32x32 coefficients in a memory (612), parsing the coefficients into bit-planes (606), storing the resulting bitplanes in memory (614), and then outputting them to a bit-plane encoder (610). This process is similar to that of Yip EU, wherein wavelet coefficients are transferred to a processor (120) where bit-plane analysis is performed (306), and the coefficients are then encoded on the basis of the results of the analysis (310-314). The primary difference is that Yip AU includes two memories for facilitating the transfer of data to the encoder.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify Yip EU by Yip AU to achieve the claimed invention by transferring the data group from a first memory to a second memory and detecting a maximum value in the data group while transferring the data group, as claimed, since Yip AU teaches that when wavelet-transformed image data is to be encoded on the basis of significant bit planes, it was advantageous and conventional to include two memories, as claimed, in order to facilitate the transfer of data to the encoder. In addition, Yip AU shows that it was conventional to perform a bitplane analysis (608-n) to detect significant bits while transferring the data from the first to the second memory (see figure 6).

Regarding claims 6 and 12, Yip EU discloses including any known memory circuit, which includes a DMA circuit (¶ 142).

Regarding claim 7, Yip EU discloses the data group includes transform coefficients generated by transform coding on the pixel data (304, figure 3).

Regarding claims 2 and 9, Yip EU discloses a circuit and method (figure 3) for transferring a data group (i.e. coefficients of image data) having data represented by plural bits, without changing an arrangement of a sequence of the plural bits, to a bitplane coding processor (i.e. coding routine 310-314), comprising:

calculation means for performing logical OR calculation independently for each bit-plane, each bit plane comprising bits which are located at a same bit position among bits constructing data which all the data group has (306 and ¶ 32: the largest coefficient in the data group is identified; figure 29, and ¶ 123: the “bit-plane unit” in figure 29 performs an independent logical OR operation on bits in a current bit plane (e.g. “BitB1 + BitB2 + BitB3” on p. 15) in order to generate “data valid bits,”); and

specifying means for specifying a non-zero highest-order bit position among bits constructing a result of the logical OR calculation by said calculation means (306 and ¶ 32: the MSB bit position (i.e. the highest significant bitplane) of the maximum detected value is specified as “maxBitNumber”; and ¶ 126: the data valid bits are used for determining the most significant data bit) and outputting a code representing the bit position specified by said specifying means to said bit-plane coding processor (figure 3: “maxBitNumber” is output to the coder section 310-314),

Art Unit: 2624

wherein a bit in a position higher than said highest-order bit position specified by said specifying means is omitted from coding executed by said bitplane coding processor (Figure 4 shows the “Code region” routine of figure 3. As can be seen in figure 4, coding begins with the “maxBitNumber” bitplane and continues until the “minBitNumber” bitplane is reached. As a result, those bits in the bitplanes higher than the “maxBitNumber” bitplane are omitted from processing.).

Yip EU is deficient for claims 2 and 9 for the same reasons as recited above for claims 1 and 8; and Yip AU is relied upon to cure the deficiencies of Yip EU for claims 2 and 9. Please refer to the explanation of the combination for claims 1 and 8 above.

Regarding claims 3 and 10, Yip EU discloses a circuit and method (figure 3) for transferring a data group (i.e. bitplanes of coefficients) having data represented by plural bits, without changing an arrangement of a sequence of the plural bits, for coding by a bitplane coding processor (i.e. coding routine 310-314), comprising:

calculation means for performing logical OR calculation independently for each bitplane, each bit plane comprising bits which are located at a same bit position among bits constructing data which all the data group has (figure 29, and ¶ 123: the “bit-plane unit” in figure 29 performs an independent logical OR operation on bits in a current bit plane (e.g. “BitB1 + BitB2 + BitB3” on p. 15) in order to generate “data valid bits,”); and

specifying means for specifying a non-zero lowest-order bit position among bits constructing the result of the logical OR calculation by said calculation means (see ¶ 33: a non-zero lowest-order bit position, corresponding to the “minBitNumber” bitplane, is designated

Art Unit: 2624

according to the desired image quality; and Yip's lowest-order bit position is "among bits constructing the result of the logical OR calculation" – since all bits in bitplanes lower than the maxBitNumber bitplane are used to construct the results of the logical OR calculation in ¶ 123, the designated lowest-order bitplane ("minBitNumber") comprises bits used to construct the results of the logical OR calculation) and outputting a code representing the bit position specified by said specifying means to said bit-plane coding processor (figure 3: "maxBitNumber" is output to the coder 310-314),

wherein a bit in a position lower than said lowest-order bit position specified by said specifying means is omitted from coding by said bitplane coding processor (Figure 4 shows the "Code region" routine of figure 3. As can be seen in figure 4, coding begins with the "maxBitNumber" bitplane and continues until the "minBitNumber" bitplane is reached. As a result, those bits in the bitplanes lower than the "minBitNumber" plane are omitted from processing.).

Yip EU is deficient for claims 3 and 10 for the same reasons as recited above for claims 1 and 8; and Yip AU is relied upon to cure the deficiencies of Yip EU for claims 3 and 10. Please refer to the explanation of the combination for claims 1 and 8 above.

Regarding claims 4 and 11, it is noted that claim 4 is a combination of claims 2 and 3, and claim 11 is a combination of claims 9 and 10, the corresponding features of claims 2, 3, 9, and 10 being disclosed by Yip EU as recited above.

Art Unit: 2624

Yip EU is deficient for claims 4 and 11 for the same reasons as recited above for claims 1 and 8; and Yip AU is relied upon to cure the deficiencies of Yip EU for claims 4 and 11. Please refer to the explanation of the combination for claims 1 and 8 above.

Conclusion

5. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.


Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Colin M. LaRose whose telephone number is (571) 272-7423. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Jingge Wu, can be reached on (571) 272-7429. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (571) 273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications

Art Unit: 2624

may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000. Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding can also be directed to the TC 2600 Customer Service Office whose telephone number is (571) 272-2600.

Colin M. LaRose
Group Art Unit 2624
14 June 2006



VIKKRAM BALI
PRIMARY EXAMINER